Study of the origin of short- and long-latency SSEP during recovery from brain ischemia in a rat model
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Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs) consist of a series of waves generated from the sequential activations of neural structures along the somatosensory pathway. Yet there is no clear view about the mapping of SSEP peaks with their origins. The SSEP waveform is conventionally viewed as comprised of two components—the short-latency (SL) and the long-latency (LL) complexes. Researchers have looked into SL and LL complex in humans, and established the SL-peak N20 as an indicator of thalamocortical integrity and the LL-peak N70 as an indicator of cortical function [23], with a general understanding of SL-SSEP to be a thalamocortical response and LL-SSEP to be a corticocortical response [7]. There have been subjective definitions of short/middle/long-latencies [8,10,23], but the justifications for such definitions are unclear. Simple time-domain segmentation may lose its power when the signals are not standard and highly variable which is often the case when SSEPs are recorded from injured brain. Therefore, a more universal and justified segmentation approach is needed to assist the analysis of SSEPs.

In this paper we study the SL- and LL-SSEPs during recovery from brain ischemia in a rat model of asphyxial cardiac arrest (CA) [12].

In view of the association of SL and LL responses in SSEP with their thalamocortical origins, it is expected that the separation of SL- and LL-SSEP would shed insights into diagnosis and prognosis of different levels of brain injury. Here, we present an innovative way of separating SL- and LL-SSEPs using independent component analysis (ICA), based on the assumption that they are generated from different sources and can thus be considered statistically independent.

ICA has been a well-established method for blind source separation in various neural signals [24–26], for example, ICA components have been used in dipole source modeling to find the source underlying surface EEG recordings [21]. Therefore, we expect ICA to decompose the inputs of multichannel SSEP recordings into the SL and LL components of different sources. The remainder of this paper discusses the effectiveness of using ICA for SSEP segmentation, along with the advantages and limitations of this method.

Our experimental studies are motivated by the problem of assessing the neural electrophysiological changes as a result of global ischemia following cardiac arrest (CA). The experiment protocol was approved by the Johns Hopkins Animal Care and Use Committee. Sixteen male Wistar rats (350 ± 25 g) were subjected to either a 7 min (n = 8) or 9 min (n = 8) of asphyxia. Rats were mechanically ventilated with 1.5% isoflurane in a 50:50% N2/O2 gas mixture. The femoral artery and vein distal to the inguinal liga-
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been derived from many criteria[14]. The particular model used
decomposition, the SL and LL components are distinct and peaks are easier to identify.
SSEPs are averaged over 20 sweeps to improve SNR. The ICA transformed signals are in arbitrary units. Notice that (1) the slow and flat LL complex N30/P60 is magnified in
(A) and (B) are two channels of SSEPs recordings, and the lower two panels are the ICA-decomposed independent components (ICs), corresponding to SL- and LL-SSEPs. The
SSEPs are averaged over 20 sweeps to improve SNR. The ICA transformed signals are in arbitrary units. Notice that (1) the slow and flat LL complex N30/P60 is magnified in
LLIC; (2) in (B), the injured SSEP, the P15 peak is missing from the raw data but shows up in SLIC; (3) the N10 and N30 peaks are ambiguous in the raw data, whereas after
decomposition, the SL and LL components are distinct and peaks are easier to identify.

Fig. 1. ICA decomposition of SSEPs into short- and long-latency components at baseline (A) and after cardiac arrest (B) in a typical CA experiment. The upper two panels in
(A) and (B) are two channels of SSEPs recordings, and the lower two panels are the ICA-decomposed independent components (ICs), corresponding to SL- and LL-SSEPs. The
SSEPs are averaged over 20 sweeps to improve SNR. The ICA transformed signals are in arbitrary units. Notice that (1) the slow and flat LL complex N30/P60 is magnified in
LLIC; (2) in (B), the injured SSEP, the P15 peak is missing from the raw data but shows up in SLIC; (3) the N10 and N30 peaks are ambiguous in the raw data, whereas after
decomposition, the SL and LL components are distinct and peaks are easier to identify.

The separation of short and long latencies shows its importance
during early recovery from brain ischemia induced by CA. The SSEP
waveforms can be severely distorted due to the injury with some
typical peaks missing and some unexpected peaks emerging. Some
SSEP peaks are so small that they are over-ridden by the preceding
or following peaks. The observed delay of these peaks makes the sit-
tuation even worse—delayed SL-peaks can be mixed or overlapped
with LL-peaks. Therefore, early peak detection is technically diffi-
ticul with a high probability of false detection. ICA improves peak
detection in three ways: (1) it highlights LL-SSEP which is subtle
compared to SL-SSEP in raw recordings (Fig. 1(A)), (2) it retrieves
small peaks which are missing from the raw observations, and (3) it
minimizes the mutual interference between the SL and LL complex
to obtain the true waveforms for SL and LL alone, as evidenced in
Fig. 1(B). These improvements are not trivial but significant when

ment were cannulated in order to monitor mean arterial pressure
(MAP), sample arterial blood gas (ABG) and administer drugs. Body
temperature was maintained within normal values 36.5–37.5 °C
throughout the experiment. After a 15 min baseline recording, the
isoflurane was washed out for 5 min to eliminate the residual
effect of anesthesia. 2 mg/kg of vecuronium was infused 2 min after
washout and the gas mixture was switched to room air. CA was
initiated with cessation of mechanical ventilation and lasted for 7 or
9 min, as predetermined. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR)
was performed with external chest compressions, mechanical ven-
tilation, and infusion of epinephrine and NaHCO3 until return of
spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Isoflurane was restarted at 0.5%
for 45 min to maintain animal comfort during SSEP recording.
One week prior to CA, rats were implanted with 4 epidural screw
electrodes (plastics one, roanoke, VA) on the left (chA, chC) and
right (chB, chD) somatosensory cortex, along with a ground in the
parasagittal right frontal lobe. Median nerve stimulation was given
alternatively through needle electrodes in the right and left distal
forelimbs with 200-μs long 0.6 mA pulses delivered at 0.5 Hz. The
SSEPs were recorded using the TDT data acquisition system (Tucker
Davis Technologies, Alachua, FL) at 6.1 kHz, and the first 150 ms
signals post-stimulus were recorded and analyzed. Signals were
recorded continuously for 1 h after onset of CA, intermittently for
the next 3 h with 15 min rest periods, and for 15 min at 24 h, 48 h
and 72 h after ROSC.
The neurological outcome after CA is determined by an exten-
sive neurological examination using the neurological deficit score
(NDS). The NDS, ranging from 80 (best) to 0 (worst) was previously
developed and validated by us [11,12,15,16].

ICA is implemented to separate the SL and LL complexes of the
SSEP. The ICA is a method for solving the blind source separation
problem, which aims to find a linear coordinate system such that
the constituent signals derived from a mixture are as statistically
independent from each other as possible[20]. Assume that there
are m independent source signals s=(s1, ..., sm), being observed in
n channels x=(x1, ..., xn), then the ICA model is defined as [5] x=As
with a mixing matrix A, and a demixing matrix W to estimate the
underlying sources u=Wx. The adaptive algorithms for W have
been derived from many criteria [14]. The particular model used in
this study was proposed by Bell and Sejnowski [3] using informa-
tion maximization (Infomax). We choose Infomax ICA because it
is more robust to sources that are not strictly independent and
also more tolerant to noise, than other ICA methods such as fas-
tICA [13]. The Infomax ICA algorithm is essentially a feed-forward
neural network designed with a non-linear transformation yj=gj
(uj) after the linear transformation from x to u. The goal of Infomax
is to maximize the joint entropy H(y1, ..., ym), and W is recursively

For preprocessing, SSEP signals are low-pass filtered with a
cutoff frequency of 150 Hz and then averaged over 20 sweeps to
improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The use of epidural elec-
trodes bypasses the cranial filtering, thereby records a less damped
signal than clinically used scalp recordings. We consider an aver-
age over 20 sweeps to be sufficient to obtain a stable signal with a
high SNR for analysis. After filtering and averaging, a typical SSEP
waveform consists of N10/P15 and N30/P60 complexes in the SL
and LL ranges, respectively.

An illustration of ICA decomposition of baseline SSEP signals is
shown in Fig. 1(A). It is self-explanatory that the ICs, transformed
from chA and chC recordings, correspond to SL and LL compo-
nents, respectively. In SLIC, the N10/P15 complex is emphasized
with flattened late responses, whereas in LLIC, the N30/P60 complex
became prominent with blurred early responses. This pattern
of separation and grouping is consistently observed across subjects
in the cohort of animals.

The separation of short and long latencies shows its importance
during early recovery from brain ischemia induced by CA. The SSEP
waveforms can be severely distorted due to the injury with some
typical peaks missing and some unexpected peaks emerging. Some
SSEP peaks are so small that they are over-ridden by the preceding
or following peaks. The observed delay of these peaks makes the sit-
tuation even worse—delayed SL-peaks can be mixed or overlapped
with LL-peaks. Therefore, early peak detection is technically diffi-
cult with a high probability of false detection. ICA improves peak
detection in three ways: (1) it highlights LL-SSEP which is subtle
compared to SL-SSEP in raw recordings (Fig. 1(A)), (2) it retrieves
small peaks which are missing from the raw observations, and (3) it
minimizes the mutual interference between the SL and LL complex
to obtain the true waveforms for SL and LL alone, as evidenced in
Fig. 1(B). These improvements are not trivial but significant when
Fig. 2. Delays of N30 and P60 after ICA (A)/(B) versus that before ICA (C)/(D) for the good-outcome and poor-outcome groups at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, 240 min after CA. The outcomes were determined by the neurological deficit score (NDS), measured at 72 h after CA. p-Values are derived from the one-tailed Student’s t-test with unequal variance assumption (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.02, ***p < 0.01). Pearson’s correlation coefficient \( r \) between the delays and NDS at each time frame is shown below the bars with those statistically significant \( r \) values marked with stars.

we test the prognostic value of SSEP after ICA versus that before ICA, as demonstrated below.

Based on the LL-SSEP separated from ICA analysis, delays of the LL-peaks N30 and P60 at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210 and 240 min post-CA were measured, where delay refers to the difference between the prolonged latency and the baseline latency. The rats were divided to good-outcome (\( n = 9 \)) and bad-outcome (\( n = 7 \)) groups according to their NDS evaluated at 72 h after CA. The mean and standard deviation of delays for the two groups are shown in Fig. 2(A) and (B). Both N30 and P60 have significantly higher delays for the poorly-recovered rats (\( p < 0.05, \) Student’s t-test) than the well-recovered rats at most timeframes. The correlations between the delays and NDS are significant at all timeframes after 30 min after CA (\( p < 0.05, n = 16, \) Pearson’s correlation). However, if the same peak detection was performed on raw SSEPs, delays of N30 and P60 did not show much differences (Fig. 2(C) and (D)), possibly due to the distortion of waveforms which gave erroneous detections. The latencies of SL-peaks N10 and P15 are not significantly different between the outcome groups using either the raw SSEPs or decomposed ICs.

One benefit of ICA is that it facilitates the investigation of SL- and LL-SSEPs separately. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of SLIC and LLIC during the first 4 h of recovery after CA. Distortions in both SL and LL components were observed early in the recovery, both of which gradually restored towards the baseline as the rat recovered. Along with the change of waveforms, the latencies of SSEP peaks changed from large delays to near baseline values, however, the changing patterns of SL and LL were different. For example, the latency of the SL-peak N10 approximately follows an exponential trend which drops at the beginning and stabilizes at about 1 h post-CA; whereas the latency of LL-peak P60 decreases slowly and linearly over time (Fig. 4). Latencies are normalized with respect to the baseline values for comparison, but it is worth noting that the absolute delay of P60 is much greater than that of N10 as shown in the inset figure. These observations point to different recovery dynamics of SL- and LL-SSEPs, and further suggest different vulnerabilities of their neural sources to hypoxic–ischemic insults.

The primary somatosensory cortex receives inputs from the thalamus, particularly, the ventral posterior medial (VPM) and ventral posterior lateral (VPL) nuclei as the principal thalamic relays\[17\]. Cortical layer IV is the major lamina for thalamocortical interaction, which then transmits the sensory information to layer II and upper layer III, where corticocortical communication takes place\[19\]. The idea that the early and late responses in SSEP are generated in different neural sources drives the motivation for the separation of SL- and LL-SSEPs. Animal studies with spike correlation analysis showed a range of thalamocortical delays from 0.1 to 5 ms and corticothalamic delays from 1 to 30 ms in the mouse\[22,28\] and rat \[1,29\]. Muthuswamy et al.\[27\] showed an inhibition period in the thalamic multi-unit activity from 30 to 100 ms after onset of stimulus, so that the LL-SSEP during 30–100 ms could not have a thalamic origin as the SL-SSEP does. Hence the segmentation of SL- and LL-SSEP around 30 ms by ICA (Fig. 1) agrees well with the expected timing of thalamocortical information flow.

ICA separates source signals according to their statistical independence, regardless of the morphology of the waveform, which establishes it as a generic method applicable on any evoked potentials for separation of SL and LL responses. However, one should
Fig. 3. Evolution of SLIC and LLIC during recovery from CA in a typical CA experiment. ICs are clustered in 10 min windows, where the light waveforms are averaged waveform over 20 sweeps and dark ones are 10 min (300-sweep) averages. The time frames have been chosen unevenly with higher resolution at the beginning when the most dramatic changes took place and lower resolution towards the end when the signals were relatively stable. Signals are scaled to \([0,1]\) for the emphasis of their waveform at various times instead of amplitude.

keep in mind that SL- and LL-SSEPs are not completely independent of each other, as they are driven by the same stimulus. Consequently, we can see residuals of N10/P15 in the LL component after decomposition. It turns out that SL and LL-SSEPs are more separable in injured SSEPs than baseline (Fig. 3), possibly due to the dissociation between thalamic and cortical activities [27]. The drawback of ICA lies in that the amplitude information is lost in the decomposed signals. Nevertheless, latency is generally considered more reliable marker than amplitude for clinical use [30].

In clinical practice, the delay of SL-SSEP reliably predicts poor neurologic outcome [4], but it has a low sensitivity for favorable outcomes. LL-SSEP may hold more promise in predicting good outcomes, but they have poor reproducibility and have not been adequately studied [31]. Thus, there is a need for improved peak detection of LL-SSEP, and the application of ICA provides a solution. Better prognostication is achieved using the separated LL-SSEP by ICA, as shown by the statistical analysis. The LL-SSEP is of particular interest in this study not only because of its prognostic value but also because of its greater vulnerability to brain ischemia. Composed of SL-SSEP, the delay of LL-SSEP after CA is substantial and it takes a longer time to get fully restored (Fig. 4). Assuming its corticocortical generator, the greater vulnerability of the LL-SSEP is supported by histological [18] and MR spectroscopic [9] studies that the cerebral cortex is more sensitive to reduced cerebral blood flow compared to deep brain regions.

The separability of SL and LL responses as independent components, and their different recovery dynamics and vulnerabilities all support the hypothesis that SL- and LL-SSEP are generated by different neural sources. However, in order to fundamentally find out the origins of SL- and LL-SSEPs, simultaneous thalamocortical multi-unit recordings from the neural population in both thalamus and cortex and careful correlation analysis will be needed.

ICA is implemented to separate the SL- and LL-SSEP as two components, which are believed to be generated from different neural sources. The separation reduces the interference between SL and LL and thus facilitates peak detection in injured brain. With improved peak detection, the LL-peak latencies are shown to be predictive of long-term outcomes after asphyxial cardiac arrest. The loss and return of SL and LL, which exhibit different dynamics in the recovery during brain ischemia, presumably reflects the functionality of their sources of origin. This study suggests separate origins of SL- and LL-SSEP and this result could be important to the understanding of the role of different neural structures during their recovery from cerebral ischemia.
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