REMOTE MEASUREMENT AND ERROR ESTIMATION

**Focus:**

The objective of this lab is to develop and use a measurement technique which can most accurately determine the distance between two inaccessible points. Both the deduced value and its range of error are important.

**Overview:**

Sometimes situations arise in which it is necessary to have measurements for something that we cannot reach directly. Measurements of the ocean floor, the paths of planets, and the size of structures inside the body are examples of things that must be measured remotely. Because remote measurements are not as exact as direct measurements, it is very important to consider the error involved in the techniques used to obtain values as the error lets us know how “correct” the values are. With careful attention to error estimation, remote measurements can give us a very good idea of the actual values for which we are searching.

**Procedure:**

Using only a measuring kit consisting of two meter sticks, a length of string and some masking tape, determine the as-the-crow-flies distance between the tip of the cupola on Shriver Hall and the tip of the cupola on Gilman Hall. Also, deduce the height difference between the two cupolas.

Estimate the accuracy of each of your measurements and calculate the resulting possible error in your predicted distance using the “total differential” technique. Estimate the variance of each of your measurements and calculate the “expected” error.

**Write-up:**

Lab write-ups should include a sketch of how the distance determination was made, all equations used, all equations and calculations pertaining to your use of the error equation, and an estimate and discussion of measurement errors. In addition, you should explain what you would do differently if you could redo this lab.
Suppose you want to calculate the volume of a structure that consists of a cone resting upon a rectangular parallelopiped. The total volume of this structure is:

\[ V = \frac{1}{3} \pi R^2 H_c + LWH. \]

You will not measure \( V \) directly, but rather you will calculate \( V \) by taking measurements of \( R, H_c, L, W, \) and \( H \). But suppose these measurements are not perfectly accurate. So the question is how much error will you induce in your calculation of \( V \) by using inaccurate values for the measured variables.

If each measurement is in error by its own \( \Delta \), then the calculated volume would consist of the true volume \( V \) plus an error \( \Delta V \). The relation between the error-borne measurements and the resulting calculated volume would be:

\[ V + \Delta V = \frac{1}{3} \pi (R + \Delta R)^2 (H_c + \Delta H_c) + (L + \Delta L)(W + \Delta W)(H + \Delta H). \]

Expanding this equation, then subtracting out the equation for \( V \), one obtains

\[ \Delta V = \frac{1}{3} \pi \left[ 2RH_c \Delta R + R^2 \Delta H_c + H_c (\Delta R)^2 + 2R \Delta R \Delta H_c + \Delta H_c (\Delta R)^2 \right] + \]
\[ \Delta H \Delta L + H \Delta L \Delta W + L \Delta W \Delta H + L \Delta H \Delta W + W \Delta H \Delta L + \Delta L \Delta W \Delta H. \]

If \( \Delta H_c, \Delta R, \Delta L, \Delta W, \) and \( \Delta H \) are all small, then terms containing of two or more of these \( \Delta \)s will be much smaller than terms containing only a single \( \Delta \). Consequently, if we ignore these smaller terms, \( \Delta V \) can be approximated as

\[ \Delta V \approx \frac{1}{3} \pi \left( 2RH_c \Delta R + R^2 \Delta H_c \right) + L \Delta W \Delta H + L \Delta H \Delta W + W \Delta H \Delta L. \]

Thus, if we have some estimate for the errors in our measurements, we can use the above expression to evaluate the error in our calculated \( V \).

Another way of representing this error is by percentages. If the total volume \( V \) is separated into its constituent pieces \( V = V_c + V_p \), where the subscripts \( c \) and \( p \) refer to the cone and parallelopiped, respectively, then the above equation can be rewritten as

\[ \frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{\Delta V_c}{V_c} \left( 2 \frac{\Delta R}{R} + \frac{\Delta H_c}{H_c} \right) + \frac{\Delta V_p}{V_p} \left( \frac{\Delta H}{H} + \frac{\Delta W}{W} + \frac{\Delta L}{L} \right). \]

This equation shows that percentage errors in the parallelopiped measurements, e.g., \( \frac{\Delta H}{H} \), are linearly additive with weight \( V_p \), whereas a percentage error in the measurement of \( R \) is doubly additive with weight \( V_c \).

This error calculation can be presented more formally as follows: If \( F \) is a differentiable function depending on \( n \) variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \), then infinitesimal variations in \( F \) are determined by infinitesimal variations in the \( x_i \)s as:

\[ dF(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} dx_2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} dx_n. \]

\( dF \) is called the “total differential”. For additional references, look under “total differential” or “calculus of errors” in elementary calculus books.
\[ dF(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \] is the actual error in \( F \) associated with errors in a single set of measurements with errors \( dx_i \). If all our measurement errors have the same sign, then \( dF(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is the maximum error we can have. But measurement errors are typically not all of the same sign. So we can ask the question what is the typical error we might expect in \( F \). To answer that question, we must make three assumptions: if we were to carry out the measurements many times, 1) the average value of each \( \bar{dx}_i = 0 \) (i.e., the errors are unbiased), 2) the errors \( dx_i \) do not depend on the values of the measurements \( x_j \), and 3) the correlations between the measurement errors is zero. In a very compact notation this last assumption can be expressed as \( \bar{dx}_i dx_j = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \). Finally, if \( i = j \), then we can write \( \bar{dx}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 \), i.e., the variance of the \( i \)th measurement error.

The “squared error” of \( dF(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{dF^2} &= \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 (dx_1)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 (dx_2)^2 + \ldots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 (dx_n)^2 + \\
&+ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} dx_1 dx_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3} dx_1 dx_3 + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_4} dx_1 dx_4 + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n-1}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} dx_{n-1} dx_n
\end{align*}
\]

Now consider the “mean squared error”. This is written as

\[
\frac{\overline{dF^2}}{\overline{dx}^2} = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 (dx_1)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 (dx_2)^2 + \ldots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 (dx_n)^2 + \\
+ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} dx_1 dx_2 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3} dx_1 dx_3 + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_4} dx_1 dx_4 + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n-1}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} dx_{n-1} dx_n
\]

Since we have assumed that the measurement errors do not depend on the values of the measurements themselves, we can separate the averaging of each term into two parts as

\[
\frac{\overline{dF^2}}{\overline{dx}^2} = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \overline{(dx_1)^2} + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \overline{(dx_2)^2} + \ldots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \overline{(dx_n)^2} + \\
+ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \overline{dx_1 dx_2} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3} \overline{dx_1 dx_3} + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_4} \overline{dx_1 dx_4} + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n-1}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \overline{dx_{n-1} dx_n}
\]

Because \( \bar{dx}_i dx_j = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \), all the terms on the second line disappear and we end up with

\[
\overline{dF^2} = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \overline{\sigma_1^2} + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \overline{\sigma_2^2} + \ldots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \overline{\sigma_n^2}
\]

So, the “expected” or “root-mean-squared” error in \( F \) is

\[
\sqrt{\overline{dF^2}} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + \ldots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \sigma_n^2}
\]