Mapping the Electronic Structure of the Uranium(VI) Dinitride Molecule, UN₂
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ABSTRACT: A combined anion photoelectron spectroscopic and relativistic coupled-cluster computational study of the electronic structure of the UN₂ molecule is presented. Because the photoelectron spectrum of the uranium dinitride negative ion, UN₂⁻, directly reflects the electronic structure of neutral UN₂, we have measured and relied upon the photoelectron spectrum of the UN₂⁻ anion as a means of mapping the electronic structure of neutral UN₂. In addition to the electron affinity of the UN₂ ground state, energy levels of the UN₂ excited states were well characterized by the close interplay between the experiment and high-level theory. We found that both electron attachment and electronic excitation significantly bend the UN₂ molecule and elongate its U≡N bond. Implications for the activation of UN₂ are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Uranium nitrides are promising candidates as the fuel for generation IV power reactors. Currently, most nuclear fuel is in the form of uranium dioxide, UO₂. While uranium dioxide and uranium nitrides are all refractory materials, the attributes of uranium nitrides over uranium dioxide are their higher melting point, thermal conductivity, and fissionable density.¹,² The bonding of nitrides, N³⁻, with uranium has also stirred considerable interest in multiple bonding when an 5f orbital is involved. Plentiful research on uranium nitrides has focused on molecular uranium(VI) nitride complexes, which feature a [NUN] or a [U≡N] core with U in its highest oxidation state.³⁻¹⁶ Despite these progresses, however, knowledge of uranium(VI) nitride chemistry has lagged behind that of the ubiquitous uranyl ion, UO₂²⁺, whose U is also in the +6 oxidation state. The chemical bonding and electronic structure of uranyl complexes are well documented,¹⁷ and it has made possible the manipulation of their coordination number, reactivity, and other properties.¹⁸⁻²¹ Uranium(VI) nitride complexes, on the other hand, are relatively difficult to synthesize. Therefore, significant challenges are posed in regard to their characterization and the modification of their chemical and material properties.³,⁴

The behavior of uranium(VI) nitride complexes is, however, largely governed by the bonding between individual nitrogen and uranium, as well as the electronic properties of the uranium nitride unit. Therefore, isolated uranium nitride molecules are well suited for investigating the [NUN] core in uranium(VI) nitride complexes.⁵ Previous work has explored individual uranium(VI) nitride molecules both in the gas phase and under matrix isolation conditions.²²⁻³⁰ Infrared, micro-wave, and ionization spectroscopy have primarily characterized the ground-state properties of uranium(VI) nitride molecules. Experimental results on their electronic structure and excited-state properties, however, have been scarce. The dearth of experimental data necessitates guidance from theoretical calculations to predict and interpret their electronic structures. Nevertheless, accurate quantum-chemical calculations of excited states for uranium(VI) nitrides are challenging. The complexity of the electronic structure of the uranium atom demands that the theoretical method accounts for both electron correlation and relativistic effects accurately. Even for the isolated uranium dinitride molecule, UN₂⁻, large discrepancies in computed excitation energies persist among different theoretical approaches.³¹⁻³⁸ For these reasons, the direct experimental measurement of the electronic structure for molecular uranium dinitrides is necessary. Such data, in partnership with accurate quantum-chemical calculations, have the potential of elucidating chemical bonding and electronic properties in uranium dinitride molecules and thus of providing a solid foundation for better understanding their behavior in bulkier uranium(VI) nitride complexes.

The photoelectron spectrum of the uranium dinitride negative ion, UN₂⁻, directly reflects the electronic structure of neutral UN₂. For this reason, we have measured and relied
upon the photoelectron spectrum of the UN$_2^-$ anion as a means of mapping the electronic structure of neutral UN$_2$. These anion photoelectron experiments, performed on gas-phase (isolated) uranium dinitride molecular anions, UN$_2^-$, have the additional advantage of being free of environmental perturbations. Both the ligands that interact with uranyl ions (UO$_2^{2+}$) $^{39–42}$ the isoelectronic cousins of UN$_2$ neutral molecules, and even the inert gases that envelop uranium nitrides in frozen matrices $^{43}$ are known to have pronounced effects on their host electronic structure. On the computational side of the theory–experiment partnership, quantum-chemical calculations using coupled-cluster methods $^{44,45}$ and relativistic exact two-component Hamiltonian $^{46–48}$ have been performed to facilitate the interpretation of the experimental photoelectron spectrum of UN$_2^-$. The computational methods used here $^{49,50}$ have recently been reported to provide systematically converged results for excitation energies of the uranyl ion. $^{51}$ They thus appear to be promising candidates for obtaining accurate results for excited states of UN$_2$. Here, we report a joint negative-ion photoelectron spectroscopic and relativistic coupled-cluster computational study of the electronic structure of uranium dinitride, that is, the neutral molecule, UN$_2$, in order to determine its ground- and excited-state energies and geometries. Evidence suggests that both electron attachment and electronic excitation readily break the linearity of UN$_2$, shedding light on the potential consequences of modifying the properties and reactivity of uranium nitride by electro- and/or photochemical means.

## METHODS

**Experimental Section.** Anion photoelectron spectroscopy is conducted by crossing a mass-selected negative-ion beam with a fixed-energy photon beam and analyzing the energies of the resultant photodetected electrons. This technique is governed by the well-known energy-conserving relationship, $h\nu = EBE + EKE$, where $h\nu$, EBE, and EKE are the photon energy, electron binding energy (photodetachment transition energy), and the electron kinetic energy, respectively. The details of our apparatus have been described elsewhere. $^{52}$ Briefly, the photoelectron spectra were collected on an apparatus consisting of an anion source, a linear time-of-flight mass spectrometer for mass analysis and selection, and a magnetic-bottle photoelectron spectrometer for electron energy analysis (resolution $\sim 35$ meV at 1 eV EKE). The fourth harmonic (266 nm, 4.66 eV/photon) of Nd:YAG was used to photodetach electrons from the cluster anion of interest. Photoelectron spectra were calibrated against the well-known atomic lines of the copper anion, Cu$^+$. The UN$_2^-$ anion was generated in a laser vaporization/reaction ion source. $^{53,54}$ It consisted of a depleted uranium (U-238) rod, which was ablated with second harmonic (60 mJ, 532 nm) photon pulses from a Nd:YAG laser and expanded with 100 psi of an ultrahigh purity helium–10% nitrogen gas mixture. The UN$_2^-$ anion was also generated by expanding a helium–10% ammonia gas mixture over the uranium rod, as it was being laser ablated.

**Computational.** Coupled-cluster singles and doubles with noniterative inclusion of triple excitation [CCSD(T)] $^{55}$ calculations with scalar-relativistic effects taken into account using the spin-free exact two-component theory in its one-electron variant (SFX2C-1e) $^{56,57}$ were carried out for the electronic ground states of UN$_2^-$ and UN$_2$ to obtain equilibrium geometries and energies. Harmonic frequencies were obtained by means of numerical differentiation of analytic gradients. The local potential energy surfaces were scanned and fitted into a polynomial expansion containing up to sixth-order force constants. The details about the potential energy surfaces are documented in the Supporting Information. Using the fitted local potential energy surfaces, discrete variable representation (DVR) $^{58}$ calculations were performed to obtain the vibrational progression for the electronic ground state of UN$_2$ in the photodetachment spectrum of the anion, UN$_2^-$. SFX2C-1e equation-of-motion excitation energy CCSD (EOMEE-CCSD) $^{59}$ calculations were carried out to obtain geometries for electronic excited states of UN$_2$. This provided a qualitative understanding of the features associated with the excited states of UN$_2$ in the photoelectron spectrum. To obtain a balanced description of the anion for comparison, SFX2C-1e EOM electron affinity CCSD (EOMEA-CCSD) $^{60}$ calculation was also performed for the equilibrium structure of the anion. EOM-CCSD calculations with spin–orbit coupling included at
Figure 2. Computationally optimized structures of the electronic ground states of UN$_2^-$ and UN$_2$ as well as of an electronically excited state of UN$_2^-$ with an excitation from the 9$\sigma_g$ orbital into the 13$\sigma_g$ orbital, labeled here as UN$_2^*$(13$\sigma_g$). The 13$\sigma_g$, 9$\sigma_g$, 2$\delta_u$, and 2$\phi_u$ orbitals are also presented.

Table 1. Experimental and X2CAMF-EOM-CCSD(T)(a)* Transition Energies for the Photodetachment Transitions from UN$_2^-$ to the Ground and Excited States of UN$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>electronic configuration</th>
<th>transition energy</th>
<th>$R$(U–N) (in Å)</th>
<th>$\angle$(N–U–N) (in deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UN$_2^-$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots \sigma_{g}^{+}, \sigma_{h}^{+}, \sigma_{u}^{+}, 13\sigma_{g}^{+} \ldots$</td>
<td>0.6/0.93**</td>
<td>1.748</td>
<td>155.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots \sigma_{g}^{+}, \sigma_{h}^{+}, \sigma_{u}^{+}, 15\sigma_{g}^{+} \ldots$</td>
<td>2.6/3.3</td>
<td>1.768</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots \sigma_{g}^{+}, \sigma_{h}^{+}, \sigma_{u}^{+}, 2\delta_{u}^{+} \ldots$</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.774</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots \sigma_{g}^{+}, \sigma_{h}^{+}, \sigma_{u}^{+}, 2\phi_{u}^{+} \ldots$</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>1.743</td>
<td>158.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The geometries for the ground states of UN$_2^-$ and UN$_2$ as well as for the excited states of UN$_2^-$ were obtained from SFX2C-1e-EOM-CCSD, CCSD(T), and EOM-CCSD calculations, respectively. *EA and VDEs, respectively. This structure is a saddle point with respect to asymmetric stretching.

the orbital level using an X2C atomic mean-field approach (X2CAMP)**65,66 were performed to obtain accurate excitation energies for UN$_2$ at the SFX2C-1e-CCSD(T) geometry of the anion, which were added to the vertical detachment energy (VDE) calculated for the ground state to obtain VDEs for these excited states. Noniterative triple corrections were obtained using the CCSD(T)(a)** scheme61 but with the correction to the similarity-transformed Hamiltonian accounted for using an expectation-value formulation in the same spirit as the CCSDR(3) method.64 All SFX2C-1e calculations used the cc-pwCVTZ basis set for uranium65 and the cc-pVTZ basis set for nitrogen64 with SFX2C-1e contractions. The X2CAMP calculations used these basis sets in the uncontracted form, with virtual spinors higher than 100 hartree frozen in CC treatments. In all CC calculations presented here, uranium 1s, 2s, 2p, 3s, 3p, 3d, 4s, 4p, 4d, 4f, 5s, 5p, and 5d electrons and nitrogen 1s electrons were kept frozen. All calculations were carried out using the CFOUR**64-69 program package.

** RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a presents the photoelectron spectrum of UN$_2^-$ taken with a 266 nm (4.66 eV) laser. The spectrum exhibits multiple transitions with different shapes and intensities. Feature X reflects the transition from the ground electronic state of the UN$_2^-$ anion to that of neutral UN$_2$. The threshold of this feature occurs at an EBE of 0.6 eV; it then reaches its intensity maximum at 0.93 eV. When there is a sufficient Frank–Condon overlap between the ground states of the anion and the neutral, the threshold of the first EBE feature, that is, 0.6 eV, corresponds to electron affinity (EA). Thus, the EA of the UN$_2^-$ molecule is 0.6 ± 0.05 eV. We interpret the weak intensity peaks between ~0.4 and 0.5 eV to be vibrational hot bands. The first experimental VDE is the photodetachment transition at which the Franck–Condon overlap between the vibrational wave function of the electronic ground state of the anion and that of its neutral counterpart is maximal. Thus, the VDE value corresponds to the peak position of the first EBE feature. While this value was fitted to be 0.93 eV, the asymmetry on the low EBE side of the peak top suggests that the actual VDE value is less than 0.93 eV, perhaps by ~0.1 eV. Furthermore, the width of feature X suggests an appreciable geometrical difference between the ground electronic states of the UN$_2^-$ anion and neutral UN$_2$.

Because gas-phase data are not perturbed by environmental effects, they are ideally suited for direct comparisons with high-level quantum-chemical calculations. The properties for electronic ground states were modeled with SFX2C-1e-CCSD(T) calculations. As shown in Figure 2, the excess electron in the anion occupies the 13$\sigma_g$ orbital and is mainly located in the U 7s orbital with a fraction in the O 2s and 2p$_z$ orbitals. The equilibrium ground-state structure of the UN$_2^-$ anion is bent with a N–U–N angle of 155.7°, while neutral UN$_2$ is linear at its electronic ground state (see Figure 2). In addition, the U–N bond length in UN$_2^-$ (1.748 Å) is longer than that of UN$_2$ (1.716 Å). The computed EA and VDE are 0.64 and 0.81 eV, respectively; these values are in good agreement with the experimental results. Because of the large differences between the ground states of UN$_2^-$ and UN$_2$ in terms of their bond angles and bond lengths, both bending and stretching modes are strongly active in photodetachment transition. The Franck–Condon simulation presented in Figure 1b reproduces the shape of feature X and implies that the long vibrational progression tail is primarily due to the symmetric stretch with progressions of the bending mode contributing to the finer structures. The corresponding
vibrational frequencies and Franck–Condon factors are given in Table S7 of the Supporting Information. The valence electronic configurations are summarized in Table 1. As orbitals of the anion in a bent structure (as well as those in excited states of the neutral molecule with bent geometries) largely retain the characteristics of those in the linear ground state of the neutral molecule, symbols for a linear molecule were used throughout to denote the molecular orbitals to facilitate intuitive understanding.

The structural distortion that an excess electron induces in UN₂ is analogous to that seen in the activation of CO₂. For both UN₂ and CO₂, an addition of an excess electron contributes repulsion with electrons in the π bonding orbitals and causes the bonds to bend and elongate. In the case of CO₂, these structural changes activate this otherwise stable, linear molecule and prepare it to undergo chemical reaction.⁶⁰–⁷⁴ We thus anticipate that an excess electron also activates UN₂, endowing it with reactivity that enables its functionalization.

The good agreement seen here between the experiment and theory for the ground electronic states of UN₂⁻ and UN₂ establishes the foundation for the further study of excited-state properties. In the photoelectron spectrum of UN₂⁻, features with electron binding energies higher than feature X correspond to photodetachment transitions from the ground electronic state of UN₂⁻ to the excited electronic states of neutral UN₂. Band A, which ranges from EBE ≈ 2.6 to 3.3 eV, is broad and weak, suggesting that it contains multiple transitions, some of which may be due to multielectron processes with low transition efficiencies. Electronic structure calculations show that band A is due to a collection of transitions from 9σ_u to 2p_u or 2δ_u orbitals (see Table 1), whose calculated transition energies range from 2.41 to 3.40 eV. 2p_u or 2δ_u orbitals essentially correspond to the U 5f₁₂ and S₂₂ orbitals (see Figure 2). Spin–orbit contributions significantly reduce these excitation energies, for example, by as large as 0.5 eV in the case of the triplet component of the 9σ_u → 2p_u transition (see the difference between SFX2C-1e-CCSD and X2CAMF-EOM-CCSD results in Table S1). We emphasize that spin–orbit coupling fundamentally changes these excitation energies of UN₂ instead of just showing up as energy-level splittings. Triple correlations have a relatively small influence on these states and are consistently below 0.1 eV (see the difference between X2CAMF-EOM-CCSD and CCSD(T)(a)* results in Table S1). Because UN₂⁻ has an electron in its 13σ_g orbital, it can only transit to these neutral, electronically excited states via multielectron processes, further accounting for the low intensity of band A in the photoelectron spectrum.

Beyond band A are two sharp peaks located at EBE = 3.41 and 3.59 eV, labeled peak B and C, respectively. Their high intensities suggest strong, one-electron photodetachment transitions. Accurate X2CAMF-EOM-CCSD(T)(a)* calculations assign them respectively as the photodetachment transitions to the triplet and singlet \( \cdots 9\sigma_u^7\pi_u^6 12\sigma_f^2 13\sigma_f^2 \) states (hereafter abbreviated as the 13σ_f states) of UN₂⁻. The calculated vertical transition energies, 3.32–3.36 and 3.65 eV, are in excellent agreement with the experimental EBE values. For these transitions, the spin–orbit coupling and triple contributions respectively amount to ∼0.2 and ∼0.3 eV. These effects are therefore crucially important for accurate calculations of electronically excited-state energies. In anion photoelectron spectroscopy, a sharp electronic transition peak with little vibrational broadening signifies a high structural similarity between the original (anion) and the final (neutral) states. The fact that peaks B and C are both sharp thus suggests that the 13σ excited states of UN₂ have geometries that are comparable to that of the UN₂⁻ anion; that is, they are all bent. This experimental observation is consistent with the geometry optimization, which reveals that UN₂ in its 13σ state has a similar structure to that of UN₂⁻ (see Table 1 and Figure 2). Therefore, in analogy to electron attachment to UN₂ activating it, that is, forming bent UN₂⁺, the electronic excitation of UN₂ to particular excited states can also induce similar structural changes, that is, bending; these excited-state-induced structural changes may also activate UN₂ and thus alter its reactivity.

While UN₂ in its triplet 13σ state is structurally similar to UN₂⁻, this structure turns out to be unstable, that is, a saddle point, with respect to asymmetric stretching in scalar-relativistic EOM-CCSD calculations. Because we observe what appears to be that transition in the photoelectron spectrum, it may be that we are sampling the averaged C₂ᵥ structure in a relatively flat double-well potential. Spin–orbit coupling contributions may also be affecting the shape of the potential energy surface. A detailed characterization of potential energy surfaces of these excited states using the spin–orbit EOM-CC method is beyond our current computational resources with analytical gradients for these new methods not being available yet.

The present calculations for excitation energies of UN₂ were carried out on the equilibrium structure of UN₂⁻, while other reported high-level calculations⁴⁻⁶ were performed on the equilibrium structure of the neutral molecule. Although this precludes a literal comparison, an inspection shows that the present X2CAMF-EOM-CCSD results are consistent with those obtained from spin–orbit effective-core-potential EOM-CCSD calculations.³⁵ A first study of the effects from triple excitations presented here serves to demonstrate the convergence and reliability of the EOM-CC results. Complete active space second-order perturbation theory calculations⁶ seem to overestimate these excitation energies, perhaps indicating that it might improve results to enlarge the active space. Fock-space coupled cluster (FSCC) single and double calculations⁴⁴ seems to underestimate these excitation energies substantially. A similar observation has been reported in recent calculations for excitation energies of uranyl ions (UO₂²⁺).⁵¹ It thus seems of interest to further investigate the convergence of FSCC results with respect to the choices of the active space.

### SUMMARY

In this paper, we present a combined anion photoelectron spectroscopic and relativistic coupled-cluster study of the electronic structure of the UN₂ molecule. UN₂ is much less characterized than its isoelectronic counterpart UO₂²⁺. Energy levels of the UN₂ excited states are well characterized via the close interplay between the experiment and theory. We find that both electron attachment and electronic excitation significantly bend the UN₂ molecule and elongate its U≡N bond. This suggests that the morphology, coordination, and reactivity of UN₂ and the related uranium nitride compounds may be tuned using optical or electrochemical approaches. Knowledge of the electronic structure of uranium nitride may also be valuable in better understanding the behavior of uranium nitrides in nuclear reactors, where excited-state uranium nitrides may be prevalent.
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