Using Observations of HNO_3 and N_2O to Quantify HCl and Ozone Sensitivity to Variability of the Stratospheric Circulation

Anne Douglass, Susan Strahan
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Richard Stolarski
Johns Hopkins University

Why do we care about interannual variability in stratospheric dynamics?

- **Interannual variability masks detection of trends**
  a) Masks recovery of chlorine as measured by HCl column amounts
  b) complicates detection of expected upward trend in total ozone or lower stratospheric ozone due to chlorine change

- **On longer time scales, models predict speedup of BDC**
  a) No clear confirmation of these predictions by measurements
  b) Interannual variability of dynamics masks slow predicted change

Motivation: HCl column variation at northern



Jungfraujoch data 3-year smoothed
Aura data monthly zonal mean (column 1-100 hPa)

Can we find surrogate for dynamical influence on HCl variation?

Deseasonalized HCl Anomalies at 32 hPa for 30-50N Latitude Band



Small Positive Trend

Deseasonalized HCl Anomalies at 32 hPa for 30-50N Latitude Band



Small Positive Trend

No Statistical Significance

Consider altitude profiles of N_2O and HCl from Aura MLS

- N_2O and HCl both respond to dynamical changes through their spatial gradients
- They are anti-correlated at a given latitude and pressure level

Suggests that N_2O variations could be used to model/ remove variability in HCl observations to reveal trend



Monthly mean anomalies of N_2O and HCl from Aura MLS measurements at 32 hPa averaged between latitudes of 30 to 50N.

HCl Anomalies with seasonal cycle and N_2O co-variation removed



Negative Trend

Douglass, Strahan and Stolarski: Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 2016

HCl Anomalies with seasonal cycle and N_2O co-variation removed



Negative Trend

Statistically Significant at 2σ

Fit linear trend to HCl time series at each altitude of reported MLS measurements

- **First use simple linear trend model**

  HCl = μ + α•trend + β•seasonal + ε

- **Then add term for N_2O anomalies**

  HCl = μ + α•trend + β•seasonal + γ•N_2O + ε

Trend changes sign with smaller uncertainty



HCl slope from August 2004 through August 2016 from MLS data between 30 and 50 N latitudes. Shaded areas are 2σ uncertainty estimates for trend.

What about O_3?

- Using N_2O as fitting term reduces uncertainty in O_3 trend
- Using HNO_3 yields similar results (not shown)
- Calculated trend becomes positive in middle stratosphere as expected, but results are not significant

Accounting for dynamical variability in O_3 trends will be more difficult



O_3 slope from August 2004 through August 2016 from MLS data between 30 and 50 N latitudes. Shaded areas indicate 2σ uncertainty estimates of the trend.

Usefullness of dynamical tracer depends on correlations that are determined by gradients



O_3 and N_2O or HNO_3 are strongly correlated only in the lower stratosphere

HCl and N_2O strongly anti-correlate over most of stratosphere

Only correlations < -0.5 and > 0.5 shown

Douglass, Strahan and Stolarski: Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 2016

Can we do better using column HNO_3 with column O_3?

- Correlation is > 0.5 over entire SH and between 40-60N
- Warrants further examination

Would be very useful as we could extend study back in time using column measurements from NDACC stations



Douglass, Strahan and Stolarski: Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 2016

Conclusions

- Dynamical variability introduces uncertainty into trend analysis of chemical constituents such as O_3 and HCl
- Many studies have used dynamical surrogates such as QBO, ENSO, AMO in trend models to try to remove (explain) this variance
- We propose using constituent correlations to accurately model the "whole dynamical" impact on species variability
- We have shown important example of removing variability in HCl measurements from Aura MLS by using measurements of N_2O





Douglass, Strahan and Stolarski: Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 2016