The Effect of Point Mutations on the Free Energy of Transmembrane α-Helix Dimerization
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Glycophorin A forms homodimers through interaction of the single, helical transmembrane domains of the monomers. The dimers are stable in sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), permitting a number of studies that have identified a critical motif of residues that mediates dimer formation. We have used analytical ultracentrifugation to measure the energy of dimerization in a non-denaturing detergent solution and have observed the changes in energy arising from two of the mutants previously studied. Use of the detergent pentaoxyethylene octyl ether (C₈E₅) is a great advantage, since its micelles are neutrally buoyant and the detergent allows a reversible association to occur between monomer and dimer states of the glycophorin A transmembrane helices during the time-scale of sedimentation equilibrium. Use of this detergent in analytical ultracentrifugation may enable a wide range of studies of molecular association events in membrane proteins.

We find that the glycophorin A transmembrane helix dimerizes with a dissociation constant of $240(\pm 50) \text{nM}$, corresponding to a free energy of dissociation of $9.0(\pm 0.1) \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$. Point mutants that were found to be disruptive in SDS (L75A, I76A) reduced the dimer affinity in the C₈E₅ detergent environment ($K_d \approx 1.7(\pm 0.2) \text{µM}$ and $4.2(\pm 0.9) \text{µM}$, respectively). Thus, the earlier findings are placed on a quantitative, relative energy scale of association by our measurements. Molecular modeling and simulations suggest that the energy differences can be accounted for as changes in van der Waals interactions between helices.
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Introduction

Thermodynamically, the folding of helical membrane proteins can be considered as a two-stage process (Engelman & Steitz, 1981; Popot & Engelman, 1990). In the first stage, polypeptide segments of hydrophobic amino acid residues are established across the phospholipid bilayer as transmembrane α-helices, where they may represent autonomous folding domains. Energetic considerations suggest that the insertion process can happen spontaneously and that it is driven by the hydrophobic effect. In a second stage, the formation of the α-helical bundle found in the native fold of many membrane proteins occurs via specific side to side interactions between these already established transmembrane α-helices. Factors that may drive the formation of this bundle include interchain hydrogen bonds, ion pairs, and/or packing differentials involving lipid/protein and protein/contacts.

A well-studied paradigm for stage II of membrane protein folding is the self-association of the glycophorin A transmembrane α-helices that gives rise to the native dimer found in erythrocyte membranes (Bormann et al., 1989; Furthmayr & Marchesi, 1976). The sequence determinants of the side to side interactions driving this second stage in the folding of glycophorin A were elucidated by an extensive mutagenesis study (Lemmon et al.,...
Using and SDS-PAGE assay, the authors identified a motif of critical residues along one face of the transmembrane $\alpha$-helix and showed that even subtle mutations at specific positions in this motif could completely abrogate dimerization (Lemmon et al., 1992b, 1994). Guided by this large body of biochemical data, computational approaches were used to generate a model structure comprised of a right-handed dimer of helices where the interacting helix face is formed by the residues that were found to be sensitive to mutation (Adams et al., 1996; Treutlein et al., 1992). The combination of the mutagenesis and computational approaches applied towards the goal of understanding membrane protein structure at high resolution has recently been validated using NMR to determine the structure of the glycophorin A transmembrane dimer in dodecylphosphopholine micelles (MacKenzie, 1996; MacKenzie et al., 1997). The NMR structure is in good agreement with the most recent computational modeling (Adams et al., 1996).

The extensive mutagenesis study probing the specificity of the glycophorin A transmembrane $\alpha$-helix dimerization was conducted in the classically “denaturing” environment of SDS detergent solutions. Since the glycophorin A helix dimers are stable in SDS, these conditions were optimal for the screening of a large number of disruptive point mutations by SDS-PAGE. Although the structural determinants of the associating interface were correctly elucidated as borne out by the NMR structure, the SDS-PAGE assay did not permit measurement of the dimerization thermodynamics. In order to understand the glycophorin A transmembrane $\alpha$-helix dimerization under more “native-like” conditions as well as to examine quantitatively the effect of sequence mutation on self-association, we have developed a protocol to measure the reversible association of these transmembrane $\alpha$-helices using analytical ultracentrifugation in the non-denaturing detergent pentaoxyethylene octyl ether ($C_6E_8$).

**Results and Discussion**

The glycophorin A transmembrane $\alpha$-helix dimerizes with high affinity in $C_6E_8$.

Sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation was used to measure the dimerization mediated by the glycophorin A transmembrane $\alpha$-helix as fusion protein with staphylococcal nuclease (SN). There are several reasons for studying the SN/GpATM fusion protein as opposed to just the transmembrane peptide. First, the fusion protein is expressed in high yields in *Escherichia coli*, and subsequent purification is facilitated by the nuclease portion of the chimera. This expression strategy enables the preparation of a large number of fusion proteins with mutant glycophorin A transmembrane $\alpha$-helices. Second, the fusion protein has a larger molar extinction coefficient than the peptide alone, enabling us to access lower concentrations using absorbance optics. Finally, the chimera has a larger monomer weight, and optimal sedimentation equilibrium distributions would therefore not require extreme speeds in the ultracentrifuge. In order to eliminate the possibility that the SN portion of the fusion protein contributed to any observed association, control sedimentation equilibrium experiments were conducted on SN alone in the same buffer conditions containing detergent as was used for the fusion protein. It was found to be monomeric at the concentrations of interest (data not shown).

The equilibrium of any self association reaction in the ultracentrifuge can be measured only if the associating molecule behaves as a single thermodynamic component. Sedimentation equilibrium as well as reversibility of the association reaction on the time-scale of the experiment must be demonstrated for this to be the case. Both transport and chemical equilibrium are established when there is no longer any net transport of molecules in solution. This criterion is experimentally determined by subtracting successive absorbance versus radius scans collected at different times until there is no systematic difference between the two scans. Unless a sample is unstable for the long periods normally required for the equilibrium ultracentrifugation experiment, sedimentation equilibrium usually can be attained within 16 to 28 hours. While attainment of equilibrium are demonstrated in this way, the reversibility of the association reaction is demonstrated if sedimentation equilibrium data collected at different initial concentrations and speeds are well described by a global equilibrium constant (Johnson et al., 1981; Roark & Yphantis, 1969). Once sedimentation equilibrium has been achieved, it is possible to describe the oligomeric state(s) experienced by the molecules in solution. However, it is not valid to establish the relationship between these oligomers, i.e. the equilibrium constant, unless they appear to behave as a single thermodynamic component as demonstrated by reversibility.

In the special case of membrane proteins which, by their nature, require detergent micelles or phospholipid vesicles for solubilization, reversibility of the protein association reaction requires reversible association of the protein with itself (as for soluble proteins), but may also require reversibility of protein/solvent (the detergent or lipid) and solvent/solvent interactions. Further, reversibility of all three of these interactions must be kinetically possible on the time-scale of the ultracentrifugation experiment, since the protein concentration gradient is not pre-formed, but is established during centrifugation. If any one of these interactions is not reversible, the associating membrane protein may not be able to redistribute itself as a single thermodynamic component during the time-scale at which sedimentation equilibrium can be experimentally detected. Such a situation will result in
a thermodynamically heterogeneous mixture of oligomers.

We first tried to measure the SN/GpATM dimerization equilibrium in C12E6, a non-denaturing detergent that has a long history of use in the ultracentrifuge for molecular mass determinations of membrane proteins (Musatov & Robinson, 1994; Reynolds & McCaslin, 1984; Reynolds & Tanford, 1976). The data could be described well by model containing monomer, dimer and tetramer terms; thus we were able to establish the oligomeric states of the SN/GpATM in C12E6. However, global data analysis attempts were unsuccessful, suggesting that the association reaction was not reversible on the time-scale of the experiment. The observed failure to demonstrate reversibility was always true in detergent solutions containing C12E6, even when extended periods of centrifugation were carried out in order to allow for the possibility of slow reversibility (e.g. experiments at 37°C for seven days per speed).

We then tried measurements in C8E5, a chemically similar, also non-denaturing detergent. A major difference between these two detergents is that C8E5 has a much higher critical micelle concentration, perhaps resulting in a more kinetically dynamic solvent environment. In contrast to C12E6, we were able to attain sedimentation equilibrium as well as demonstrate reversibility of SN/GpATM dimerization in C8E5 detergent solutions. The concentration versus radial distance profiles for SN/GpATM in 10 mM C8E5 at three speeds and three concentrations are shown in Figure 1. The statistics for the sedimentation equilibrium fits are detailed in Table 1. The results of the data analysis revealed a monomer/dimer/tetramer distribution in C8E5, where the SN/GpATM dimer was found to be the predominant species in solution.

The distribution of species and the monomer/dimer equilibrium constant were determined by global non-linear least-squares analysis of the nine data sets. This was accomplished by starting with the simplest model (single, ideal) and then considering models of increasing complexity until the residuals became random and the variance was minimized. A plot of the natural logarithm of the protein concentration versus the square of the radius for each of the data sets individually showed upward curvature, indicative of more than one species in solution (data not shown), eliminating a single-species model. Further, the data were found to be poorly described by either a simple monomer-dimer or monomer-trimer model, and in no case did inclusion of a non-ideality parameter significantly improve the fits. Assuming that the contribution of any bound detergent is zero (see Materials and Methods), the best fit to the data was an ideal monomer-dimer-incompetent tetramer model (equation (1)):

\[
c_i = c_{\text{ref}} \left\{ \frac{c_i^2}{K_{2,1}} \exp \left[ 2\sigma_i \left( \frac{r_i^2}{2} - \frac{r_{\text{ref}}^2}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{c_i^4}{K_{4,1}^c} \exp \left[ 4\sigma_i \left( \frac{r_i^2}{2} - \frac{r_{\text{ref}}^2}{2} \right) \right] + \delta \right\}
\]

where \( c_i \) is the total absorbance at a radial position, \( r_i \) is a monomer absorbance at a reference position, \( c_{\text{ref}} \) is the monomer absorbance at a reference position, \( c_{\text{ref}} \) is the monomer absorbance at a reference position, \( r_{\text{ref}} \) is the reference radius, \( \sigma_i \) is the monomer partial specific volume (calculated as 0.7476 ml g\(^{-1}\)); \( \rho \) is the solvent density (calculated as 1.0075 g ml\(^{-1}\)); \( \omega \) is the angular velocity (radians second\(^{-1}\)); \( R \) is the universal gas constant; \( T \) is the absolute temperature; \( \delta \) is a baseline term for non-sedimenting material; \( K_{2,1} \) is the apparent monomer-dimer dissociation constant (in absorbance units) that was...
found to be common to all data sets; and $K_{4,1}$ is the apparent monomer-tetramer dissociation constant (in absorbance units) that was individually estimated for each data set. Since the monomer-dimer equilibrium dissociation constant could be well described by a single parameter for all data sets, the analysis indicates that the monomeric and dimeric species are indeed reversibly associating during the time-scale of sedimentation equilibrium. When converted to molar units, the equilibrium dissociation constant in 10 mM C$_8$E$_5$ was found to be 220 nM with 67% upper and lower confidence limits of 290 nM and 150 nM, respectively. At 25°C these values correspond to a $\Delta G_d$ of 9.1(±0.2) kcal mol$^{-1}$.

The presence of a tetrameric species both in C$_t$E$_a$ and in C$_g$E$_b$ solutions was somewhat surprising, since it had not been observed using the SDS-PAGE assay. It is not an artifact of the fitting procedure, since we could subsequently verify its existence in the centrifuge samples by covalent cross-linking following sedimentation analysis. SDS-PAGE of cross-linked samples showed the presence of a specific higher-order species migrating at an apparent molecular mass corresponding to that of a tetramer (data not shown). The fact that we observed distinct $K_{4,1}$ values for the tetramer in different data sets suggests that it is a thermodynamically heterogeneous species. The concentration trend of the individually estimated $K_{4,1}$ values was generally found to be decreasing with decreasing protein concentration: a trend that is diagnostic of a tetrameric species that is unable to dissociate upon dilution and is thus termed incompetent. The amount of sample is found as incompetent tetramer as described by the best fit model above was less than 10% of the total except for two data sets, where it was 13%. Additional models containing three species were tested in order to verify that the current model was the best description of the data. As evidenced by non-randomness of the residuals as well as an increased variance for the fits, the data were not well described by either a monomer-dimer-tetramer model in which the tetramer would be in equilibrium with the other species or by a model in which the dimer species would be considered incompetent and the monomer and tetramer species would be in equilibrium with each other.

### The dimerization is independent of micelle concentration

The forces that drive association of membrane proteins in the detergent environment must involve not only protein-protein interactions, but also protein-detergent and detergent-detergent interactions. Since the detergent is essentially the solvent for the interacting segment of this membrane protein, it is reasonable to postulate that the detergent to protein ratio could be a major determinant in driving the self-association. In the case where micelles are limiting, Tanford & Reynolds (1976) have proposed that associated species may arise due to "artifactual togetherness"; a condition in which the equilibrium mixture contains more than one polypeptide chain per micelle in the absence of any attractive forces between them. They further propose that dilution of protein-detergent particles with excess detergent micelles until a constant molecular mass (or a constant equilibrium constant) is obtained should overcome the problem of artifactual togetherness and yield an oligomeric state that should correspond to that found under native conditions, as long as the detergent stimulates the native environment in the membrane (Tanford & Reynolds, 1976). In order to ensure that the dimerization constant measured by ultracentrifugation actually reflects the attractive forces present between GpA transmembrane helices and to eliminate artifactual togetherness as an explanation for the observed dimerization, we conducted experiments at two detergent concentrations where the total micelle concentration varied by fivefold. As measured in the ultracentrifuge, if artifactual togetherness was driving the observed association, increasing the micelle concentration fivefold would be reflected in a fivefold increase in the apparent dissociation constant as measured in molar units. A difference of this magnitude could certainly be measured with high confidence in our system.

Global analysis of sedimentation equilibrium data revealed that the wild-type dimerization dissociation constant in 33 mM C$_8$E$_5$ (approximately
316 μM micelles) was 240(±50) nM. This value is within error of that found in 10 mM C8E5 (approximately 63μM micelles). The observation that the dissociation constant is invariant with detergent to protein ratio suggests that the micelle solvent is not limiting and is not the major driving force in the observed helix-helix association under our conditions in C8E5. A common dissociation constant in different detergent concentrations was also observed for the point mutant SN/GpATM(L75A) (see Table 1).

Disruptive point mutations at interface positions result in decreased dimerization

The dimerization of two point mutants of the SN/GpATM transmembrane α-helix was also measured. The substitution of alanine for leucine at position 75 is scored in the SDS-PAGE assay as predominantly monomer with detectable dimer (Lemmon et al., 1992b). Figure 2(a) shows the exponential distribution of three of the data sets used in the global analysis of the SN/GpATM(L75A) point mutant in 33 mM C8E5. The global analysis revealed that the equilibrium dissociation constant for dimer formation at 25°C was 1.7(±0.2) μM, an increase of approximately sixfold as compared to the wild-type; resulting in a decreased free energy of dissociation, Δ(ΔG_d), of 1.1(±0.1) kcal mol⁻¹. Experiments on this mutant were also done in 10 mM C8E5, where the equilibrium dissociation constant was found to be 1.6(±0.1) μM. As with the wild-type protein, this dissociation is within error of that determined in 33 mM C8E5, supporting the notion that the hydrophobic solvent is not limiting under our experimental conditions.

The substitution of alanine for isoleucine at position 76 scored in the SDS-PAGE assay as abolishing dimer formation. Figure 2(b) shows the exponential distribution of three of the data sets used in global analysis of the SN/GpATM(I76A) point mutant. The global analysis revealed that the equilibrium dissociation constant for dimer formation was 4.2(±0.9) μM, an increase of approximately 19-fold as compared to the wild-type transmembrane domain; resulting in a decreased free energy of dissociation of 1.7(±0.1) kcal mol⁻¹. As in the case of the wild-type protein, the global description of the equilibrium data for both mutants required a model that contained an incompetent tetramer species in addition to the observed...
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equilibrium monomer and dimer species. The amount of incompetent tetramer in the mutant samples was also 10% or less.

The detergent environment causes a shift in the interaction energy scale

Although the dissociation constants for both the wild-type and L75A proteins are independent of micelle concentration in C₈E₅, the observation of larger amounts of dimer in the mutant proteins in this study compared with the SDS-PAGE assay reported by Lemmon et al. (1992b) suggests that the energy scale of interactions is shifted in C₈E₅. The concentration-dependence of dimer formation measured in C₈E₅ is plotted in Figure 3, where the relative percentages of the dimer are shown for all three chimeric SN/GpATM proteins. Assuming excess detergent solvent conditions at all protein concentrations, the distributions were computed using the observed dissociation constants and then extrapolated over a wide concentration range. At the concentration range at which the SDS solution studies were done (10 to 30 µM), both mutants show significant amounts of dimer in C₈E₅. The rank order of disruption, however, remains identical with that observed in SDS. Thus, the data suggest that only the overall scale of interactions is shifted towards a more associated form in C₈E₅.

Other studies also indicate that there is a greater proportion of GpA transmembrane dimer present in mutant proteins when the subunit composition is analyzed in more “native-like” environments as compared to SDS (Adair, 1993; Langosch et al., 1996). Most recently, dimerization of the glycophasorin A helix as a fusion protein with the ToxR transcription activator in bacterial membranes has been reported (Langosch et al., 1996). By alanine scanning mutagenesis, the authors confirmed that at least four of the residues (I76, G79, G83 and T87) from the GpA dimerization motif are critical for dimer formation. In general though, the authors found that the transmembrane dimerization in bacterial membranes appears to be less sensitive to mutation than would be indicated by the mutagenesis study conducted in SDS or by the present study in C₈E₅. For example, the dimerization of the leucine 75 to alanine mutant in their study was found to be not significantly different from that of wild-type. The authors estimate that the concen-

Figure 3. Distribution of dimeric transmembrane proteins in C₈E₅ as a function of total concentration. The estimated dimerization dissociation constants from ultracentrifugation were used to generate the distribution of the equilibrium monomer and dimer species as a function of total concentration. The continuous portions of the curves indicate the concentration range wherein the analysis was carried out. The broken portions of the curves are extrapolated from analysis of those data. The distributions were calculated assuming excess detergent solvent at all protein concentrations. For clarity, only the dimeric species for each transmembrane protein is shown; the remainder of the total species in solution would be the monomer.
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tration of the ToxR-GpATM fusion proteins in the bacterial inner membrane is of the order of 1 mM and propose that the high local concentration as well as preorientation in membranes strongly favors dimer formation in membranes. Both of these factors could contribute to the diminished sensitivity of their assay to the sequence-dependence of the GpATM dimerization. Even ultracentrifugation would be unable to distinguish between these mutants if it were conducted at millimolar concentrations. It may be that the entire motif defined by Lemmon et al. (1992b) is indeed critical for dimer formation in bacterial membranes when the concentration of expressed protein is at a level low enough that the differences in dimerization propensity can be observed.

The decreased dimerization propensity for L75A and I76A can be explained by decreased packing at the dimer interface

It has been proposed that the decrease in protein stability associated with a leucine to alanine replacement in the core of soluble proteins consists of two energy terms (Eriksson et al., 1992; Mathews, 1993). The first term is a constant energy term related to the difference in hydrophobicity between leucine and alanine as estimated by their relative transfer free energies. When considered within the context of the two-stage model of membrane protein folding, hydrophobicity would not be expected to play a role on helix-helix association (stage II), since it is already taken into account in the establishment of the transmembrane helices themselves (stage I: Engelman & Steitz, 1981). En-ergetic considerations suggest that the non-polar transbilayer helix is so stable that it can even accommodate several polar groups. Thus, the difference in the hydrophobicity between leucine and alanine should affect neither the stability of the transmembrane helix nor its subsequent self-association. The second energy term proposed by Eriksson et al. (1992) is associated with the increase in cavity size that occurs when a larger side-chain (leucine) is replaced by a smaller one (alanine), as estimated by calculating the increase in cavity surface area. The physical basis for this cavity-dependent energy term can be rationalized in terms of a decrease in the buried molecular surface area involved in van der Waals contacts in the mutated protein. An energy cost of 20 cal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ has been suggested.

A common theme that emerges from the mutagenesis and NMR studies on the glycophorin A transmembrane domain is that the observed specificity driving the dimerization arises from van der Waals interactions. We performed molecular modeling and simulations of the mutant glycophorin A helical dimers in order to generate coordinates of model structures. These structures were then analyzed as described below to determine if reduced packing of the dimer interface, as expressed in a decrease in interchain buried molecular surface area, could account for the decreased free energy of dissociation observed for the glycophorin A dimers. We first considered the interchain buried molecular surface area of these model structures using the PQMS algorithm (Connolly, 1985). The results of the calculations are shown in Table 2. The interchain buried molecular surface area was decreased in the mutant dimers as compared to the wild-type dimer. The PQMS calculations showed a decrease of 80(±7) and 79(±1) Å$^2$ in the interchain buried molecular surface area for the L75A and I76A mutants, respectively. Using the value of 20 cal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ these decreases would correspond to a free energy of destabilization of approximately 1.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$: a predicted value not far from the experimentally observed free energy differences for these mutants as compared to the wild-type. This method, however, does not explain the observed differences in the dimerization propensities between the two mutants. One possible reason may be that the molecular surface area calculated in this manner is not sensitive to packing defects that are too small to be detected by this spherical probe method.

We then used an extension of the occluded surface (OS) algorithm to evaluate the interchain occluded molecular surface area of model dimers (Pattabiraman et al., 1995). The occluded molecular surface is related to, but distinct from the buried molecular surface that is represents that portion of the molecular surface of an atom that is occluded by its surrounding atoms. It can be thought of as a degree of atomic interaction and interpreted as an estimate of packing. The OS analysis of model glycophorin A dimers revealed a decrease in the interchain occluded molecular surface area for the mutants as compared to the wild-type structures (see Table 2). In addition, OS was further able to distinguish between the two mutants. As compared to the wild-type, the decrease in the interchain occluded molecular surface area observed for the L75A mutant was 58(±9) Å$^2$, whereas a decrease of 80(±9) Å$^2$ was calculated for the I76A mutant. If the energetic penalty of 20 cal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ per increase in non-interfacing surface area is applied here, the decreases in occluded molecular surface area would correspond to decreases in free energies of dissociation of 1.2(±0.2) and 1.6(±0.2) kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively.

Table 2. Interface packing calculations on model glycophorin A dimers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Buried Molecular surface (Å$^2$)</th>
<th>Occluded Molecular surface (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>587 ± 6</td>
<td>560 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L75A</td>
<td>507 ± 4</td>
<td>502 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(L75A-wt)</td>
<td>-80 ± 7</td>
<td>-58 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I76A</td>
<td>508 ± 10</td>
<td>480 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(I76A-wt)</td>
<td>-79 ± 11</td>
<td>-80 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values shown are the mean and standard deviations of the surface areas for the ensemble of the 100 model structures.
These values agree remarkably well with the Δ(ΔGΔ) values measured in the ultracentrifuge, supporting the hypothesis that destabilization of the dimer by mutation at these sensitive residues can be explained by decreased van der Waals interactions at the dimer interface.

Conclusion

The ability to measure a reversible association reaction using sedimentation equilibrium in detergent solutions of C8E5 is a major attraction for its use in studies of membrane protein association. C8E5 also provides a mild, minimally denaturing environment in which to evaluate membrane protein oligomerization properties. Ideally, thermodynamic studies would be conducted in phospholipid vesicles or in membranes; however, the requirement of a kinetically accessible reversible association during the time-scale of sedimentation equilibrium precludes the measurement of transmembrane helix-helix energetics in those environments. Even if the absolute energies found in C8E5 do not translate directly to those observed in membrane proteins in hydrophobic environments. Once a database for the quantitative correlation of sequence mutation relative to a standard while keeping all other variables constant. Thus, although the scale may be shifted, the Δ(ΔGΔ) values will be informative and will allow us to begin to understand the portion of the energy term in membrane protein associations that arises from the protein-protein interactions of helical transmembrane segments. Combined with computational modeling and in some cases high-resolution structural approaches Δ(ΔGΔ) measurements of this type should help to guide our understanding of the chemistry of interactions within helical membrane proteins in hydrophobic environments. Once a database for the quantitative correlation of mutations on membrane protein interactions has been established, this knowledge will be useful in prediction and in protein design.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation

The construction, expression and purification of the staphylococcal nuclease/glycophorin A transmembrane domain fusion protein (SN/GpATM) has been described in detail (Lemmon et al., 1992a). The construction of plasmids encoding the mutant proteins SN/GpATM(I76A) and SN/GpATM(L75A) has been described (Lemmon et al., 1992b). These proteins were purified using the same protocol as for the wild-type protein. All proteins were purified in the detergent Triton X-100. Detergent exchange into C8E5 was accomplished by binding proteins in Triton X-100 to a cation-exchange column, washing with a minimum volume of ten column volumes of buffer containing C8E5, followed by elution with high salt in C8E5. Before ultracentrifugation experiments all samples were diluted with centrifugation buffer that contained 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 200 mM sodium chloride supplemented with the desired concentration of C8E5. Samples were dialyzed for a minimum of 24 hours against the same buffer.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation was performed with Beckman Optima XL-I ultracentrifuge using the absorbance optics system to visualize the protein at 230 nm. Six-sector cells were used, and data were acquired every 0.001 cm with ten replicates. Sample volumes were 110 µl. Data were collected at 25°C at speeds of 20,000, 24,500 and 30,000 revolutions per minute. In all cases data were collected at a minimum of three initial protein concentrations that ranged from 1 to 7 µM. Absorbance versus radius scans were collected at 120 minute intervals, and successive scans were compared graphically using the XL-1 software to ensure that the sample reached sedimentation equilibrium. The protein partial specific volumes were calculated from the amino acid composition using the values reported by Cohn & Edsall (1943). The solvent density was estimated by summing the density increments of the buffer components as described by Laue et al. (1992). C8E5 was obtained from Sigma at >99% purity, which was subsequently confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry. The micelle partial specific volume is reported to be approximately 0.703 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ (Ludwig et al., 1982).

Global analysis of sedimentation equilibrium data was accomplished using the Macintosh version of the NON-LIN algorithm (Johnson et al., 1981). A minimum of the nine data sets collected at multiple concentrations and speeds was used in the estimation of parameters. The value of $\sigma_1$ was fixed to correspond to the calculated buoyant molecular mass of the monomer assuming that the contribution of any bound detergent was zero (see below). Goodness of fit was determined by examination of the residuals and minimization of the variance. Apparent absorbance equilibrium constants were converted to molar units using a molar extinction coefficient of the SN/GpATM proteins of 126,977 at 230 nm (McRorie & Voelker, 1993).

Since these proteins are in complex with detergent, the buoyant molecular mass of the sedimenting particle, $M_p(1 - \psi_\rho)$, contains contributions from both the protein and the bound detergent. In order to account for these separate contributions, the buoyant molecular mass of the complex can be rewritten in terms of its components (Cassassa & Eisenberg, 1964; Reynolds & Tanford. 1976):

$$M_p(1 - \psi_\rho) = M_p([1 - \psi_\rho] + \delta_{Det}(1 - \psi_\rho))$$

were $\psi_\rho$ and $\psi_{Det}$ are the partial specific volumes of the protein and detergent, respectively; $\delta_{Det}$ is the amount of detergent bound in grams per gram of protein, and $\rho$ is the solvent density. In the case of C8E5, the product of $\psi_{Det}$ is 0.999, thus it is not necessary to know the value of $\delta_{Det}$. Even if the value for $\delta_{Det}$ is as high as 2 g/g monomer, the term $\delta_{Det}(1 - \psi_{Det})$ is equal to 0.002, which would introduce an error of less than 1% in the monomer buoyant molecular mass, a value much lower that the precision typically observed in sedimentation equilibrium experiments. The analysis of the two-component system then becomes pseudo-two component and $M_p(1 - \psi_\rho)$ essentially equal to $M_p(1 - \psi_\rho)$. 
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Molecular modeling and simulation calculations

Models for the point mutants considered in this study were generated from a set of the NMR coordinates using the molecular graphics program TurboFrodo version 5.5. Molecular simulations of the structure of the glycophorin A dimer point mutants were carried out with X-PLOR following the protocol of Adams (Adams & Brünger, 1996; Brünger, 1992). The OPLS united atom topology and parameter sets were used (Jørgensen & Tirado-Rives; 1988; Tirado-Rives & Jorgensen, 1990) with all polar and aromatic hydrogen atoms included. Briefly, a protocol employing 1000 steps (1 ps total) of molecular dynamics was found to be sufficient to reach equilibrium. Initial velocities came from a Maxwell distribution. This was followed by 200 steps of minimization. Simulations were carried out in vacuo at a constant temperature of 300 K by coupling to a heat bath (Berendsen et al., 1984). Only the helical domain of the NMR structure (residues 70 through 91) was considered in the calculations, and only those amino acid side-chains in van der Waals contact with either leucine 75 or isoleucine 76 (as well as the mutated position itself) were unrestrained during the simulations; all other residues were harmonically restrained (force constant = 25 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻²) to their original coordinates. Half-sided distance restraints were applied between O₄ and N₄⁺ in order to maintain an α-helical conformation in the unrestrained residues. The wild-type sequence was subjected to the same simulations as a control.

Analysis of atomic packing of 100 model structures generated by molecular dynamics was accomplished by evaluation of the interchain buried molecular surface using both the PQMS algorithm of Connolly (1985) and the OS algorithm of Pattabiraman et al. (1995). The interchain buried molecular surface area was calculated using the PQMS algorithm employing a probe size of 1.2 Å so that the results would be directly comparable to the molecular surface calculations conducted on the lysozyme mutants by Eriksson et al. (1992). The molecular surface calculations were also performed employing a probe size of 1.4, 2.0 and 2.5 Å with essentially the same results. The interchain molecular surface area was determined by subtracting the molecular surface area calculated for the dimer from the sum of the molecular surface areas calculated for each monomer.

The interchain occluded surface area was calculated using an extension of the OS algorithm (Pattabiraman et al., 1995). In this method, a molecular dot surface of each residue is calculated with a 1.4 Å probe using the MS program of Connolly (1985). A normal is then extended radially from each dot until it either intersects the van der Waals surface of a neighboring atom or reaches a length of 2.8 Å (the diameter of a water molecule). The occluded surface area, OB, is that molecular surface area on the originating atom associated with normals that intersect another atom as opposed to reaching the 2.8 Å limit; all other molecular surface area is considered non-occluded. The interchain occluded surface area is calculated by summing the occluded surface area for only those extended normals that were found to intersect atoms on the opposing chain.
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